

Errata for '02 VDOT DRAINAGE MANUAL

Note: The errata information shown below will be incorporated into the text of the manual the next time it is revised.

Posted 03/03:

Chapter 6, Section 6.4.1, equation 6.2, page 6-9 of 51: The units for t_c should be “hours (hr)” instead of “minutes (min)” as shown

Chapter 7, Section 7.4.4.2.8, equation 7.5, page 7-14 of 52: The Continuity Equation should read $Q = A_1 \cdot V_1 = A_2 \cdot V_2$ instead of the way it has been shown.

Chapter 7, Section 7.5.5.2, Table 7-1, page 7-46 of 52: The Manning’s “n” for depths of flow of 0-0.5 ft. should be changed from 0.04 as currently shown to 0.104.

Chapter 11, Appendix 11F-1: The latest edition of Location & Design Instructional & Informational Memorandum IIM-LD-195 has voided the entire existing DDM-2.

Posted 04/03:

Chapter 6, Section 6.3.1.2, Table 6-1, page 6-7 of 51: The criteria for culverts for Minor Arterial, Collector, Local Roads should be changed to read “10-Year (10%) Minimum”.

Chapter 8, Section 8.3.2.1, page 8-4 of 52: The criteria for Secondary Roadways should be changed to read “10-year (10%)”

Chapter 9, Section 9.3.4, page 9-5 of 55: Replace the fourth paragraph with – “To properly drain sag vertical curves, it is recommended practice to place flanking inlets on each side of the inlet located at the low point in the gutter grade. In addition to determining the spread of water resulting from the inlet in the low point of the gutter grade, the spread on the approach roadway just up grade of the sag point should also be determined. A longitudinal slope of 0.1% should be used in determining the spread on the approach roadway. There are cases where special treatment of the gutter gradient is provided. In those instances, the flattest grade that will actually occur on the approach gradient should be used in lieu of 0.1%.”

Posted 05/03:

Chapter 6, Section 6.2.9, page 6-4 of 51, under the second bullet, the last sentence should be changed to read “The TR-55 method has been found best suited to drainage areas between 200 and 2000 acres.”

Chapter 6, Section 6.3.2, Figure 6-1, page 6-8 of 51, the chart should be revised to show the applicability of the TR-55 method as ranging from 200 to 2000 acres.

Posted 05/23/03

Chapter 7, Appendix 7D-1, page 2 of 2, the minimum value of roughness coefficient for “Medium to dense brush, in summer” should be changed from 0.700 to 0.070.

Posted 05/27/03

Chapter 8, Appendix 8D-1, change the suggested Manning’s n value range for (corrugated metal) spiral rib metal pipe from 0.012-0.013 as shown to 0.011-0.012. Also “Note 2” at the bottom of the page needs to be changed from “HDS-5, page 163” to “HDS-5, Table 4”.

Posted 09/16/03

Chapter 6, Appendix 6D-2, a table of Manning’s “n” values appropriate for use with the Kinematic Wave procedure has been added as the second page of the appendix. This change will be incorporated into the next revision to the VDOT DRAINAGE MANUAL. However, until then, a copy of the revised appendix, complete with “n” value table, may be downloaded at the following location: <http://www.virginiadot.org/business/locdes/drainage-design.asp>. A note will also be added to the main body of the text of Chapter 6 to indicate that VDOT considers the Kinematic Wave method to be the most reliable procedure for determining overland flow time over impervious surfaces for very shallow depths of flow such as 0.25”.

Posted 04/04

Chapter 6, Appendix 6H-3, "Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas" - Scratch through the entire line about 3/4 of the way down the chart that reads "Idle lands (CNs are determined using cover types similar to those in Table 6 - 11)." When the original chart was taken from the AASHTO MODEL DRAINAGE MANUAL it referenced another chart for use with "idle lands" which was for "Arid or Semiarid Regions" which is totally inappropriate for Virginia.

Chapter 8, Section 8.5.2.1, page 8-40 of 50, Step 9 of the sample problem, the value shown for “HW_{oi}” needs to be changed from 2.5 to 2.6.

Chapter 8, Section 8.5.2.1, page 8-40 of 50, Step 12 of the sample problem, the second bullet should be changed to read “Enter 4.8 (400 x 0.012) on the horizontal, “Qn” scale”. The fourth bullet should be changed to read “Read horizontally to the “Vn” scale and find a value of 0.37. Then divide this by the “n” value (0.012) and find a velocity of 30.8 fps.”

Chapter 8, Section 8.5.2.1, page 8-41 of 50, Step 15 of the sample problem (Figure 8-11), the value for “OUTLET CONTROL” “HW” needs to be changed from 2.6 to 2.7.

Chapter 8, Appendix 8D-1, the “Manning’s n” range for “Concrete Boxes” needs to be changed from 0.12-0.015 to 0.012-0.015. It needs to be changed for “Corrugated Metal Pipes and Boxes” for walls having “5 by 1 inch corrugations” from 0.25-0.026 to 0.025-0.026. It needs to be change for “Corrugate Metal” pipes having “2 2/3 by ½ inch corrugations” from 0.12-0.024 to 0.012-0.024.

Chapter 8, Appendix 8D-2, the description for Pipe, Concrete with Headwall or headwall and wingwalls Rounded (radius = $1/12D$) the radius needs to be changed to (radius = $D/12$). Under “Box, Reinforced Concrete”, under the category “Wingwalls at 10° to 25° or 30° to 75° to barrel”, the category for “Rounded on 3 edges” needs to have the radius changed from “ $1/12$ barrel” to “ $D/12$ or $B/12$ ”. Under the category for “Wingwalls a 30° to 75° to barrel”, the “Crown edge rounded” needs to be changed from a radius of “ $1/12$ barrel Dimension, or beveled top edge” to a radius of “ $D/12$, or beveled top edge. The category for Box Culverts with Wingwalls at 30° to 75° to barrel with Square Edge at crown needs to have the K_e value changed from 0.7 to 0.4.

Chapter 9, Appendix 9C-12, “Grate Inlet Capacity in Sump Conditions (VDOT Version)” is incorrect as shown for all VDOT standard drop inlet grates. Do not use this nomograph for VDOT standard grate inlets in sag. Use instead, as appropriate for a given drop inlet, the nomographs provided in Appendices 9C-13 through 9C-16. These performance curves were predicated on actual physical model testing whereas the curves for VDOT drop inlet grates used on the nomograph in Appendix 9C-12 were predicated on the extrapolation of existing data.

Chapter 9, Section 9.4.9.3, Figure 9-10, the note at the top of the page should be changed to show that the VDOT recommended values for K can be found in Appendix 9B-3 instead of Appendix 11B-3 as is currently shown.

Chapter 11, Appendix 11C-3, page 2 of 4 the 24-hr., 100-yr. rainfall for Frederick County, Virginia should be 7.0 inches.

Posted 06/04

Chapter 8, Appendix 8G-1 (Low Flow Diversion For Multiple Line Culvert Installations), the scanned drawing is fuzzy and hard to read as shown. To be able to view, print, and/or download a legible copy, one must go to the on-line Instruction and Informational Index at the following Internet address <http://www.virginiadot.org/business/locdes/rd-ii-memoranda-index.asp>, click on the link marked [Table of Contents](#), scroll down the list to IIM-LD-214 (Multiple Barrel Culverts), click on the link [IIM-LD-214](#), scroll down to page 3, and click on the link [isd 1588](#). This should display a legible copy of the insertable sheet for the drawing.

Posted 07/04

Chapter 6, Appendix 6B-7, Rainfall Intensity (IDF) curves for Frederick County, VA – Apparently when the original drawing was scanned the labels for the “Y” axis (Rainfall Intensity – in./hr.) was cut off. The labels for the “Y” axis should have appeared exactly the same as are shown for the immediately preceding curve for Fauquier County, VA (Appendix 6B-6) and the immediately following curve for Greensville County, VA (Appendix 6B-8), i.e. the bottom line should have read 1 and the top line have read 9 with each intermediate major grid line being for an increasing increment of 1”. This will be corrected in the next manual revision.

Posted 10/04

Chapter 8, Appendix 8C-35, [Chart 35](#), the description for the middle line representing “HEADWATER DEPTH IN TERMS OF ARCH RISE (HW/D)” should read “ $33.7^\circ \times 0.10 D$ ” instead of “ $33.7^\circ \times 0.100$ ” as currently shown.